UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF OREGON

ANDY CHUNG	Case No.: 6:14-cv-00952-TC
Plaintiff(s),	
v.	
PSRB DIRECTOR	
Defendant(s).	
	/
<u>Ci</u>	vil Case Assignment Order

Presiding Judge: The above referenced case has been filed in the Eugene Division of the U.S. District Court for the District of Oregon and assigned to:

> Presiding Judge Hon. Thomas M. Coffin Presiding Judge's Suffix Code*......TC *These letters must follow the case number on all future filings.

Courtroom Deputy Clerk: Questions about the status or scheduling of this case should be directed to:

Paul Bruch

Telephone: 541-431-4111

Email: paul bruch@ord.uscourts.gov

Case Administrator/Docket Clerk: Questions about filings or docket entries in this case 3. should be directed to:

Telephone: 541-431-4100

- Place of Filing: Any paper filings must be submitted to the Clerk of Court, Wayne L. Morse Courthouse, 405 East Eighth Ave., Eugene, OR, 97401. (See LR 3–3, LR 5–5.)
- **District Court Website:** Information about local rules of practice, CM/ECF electronic filing requirements, responsibility to redact personal identifiers from filings, and other related information can be found on the Court's website at ord.uscourts.gov.
- **Jurisdictional Authority of Magistrate Judges:** 6.
- Pretrial Administration: Pursuant to LR 72, the assigned United States Magistrate Judge is authorized to conduct all pretrial proceedings contemplated by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 without further designation of the Court.
- Trial by Consent and Appeal Options: Pursuant to LR 73, 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73, all United States Magistrate Judges in this district are certified to exercise civil jurisdiction in assigned cases and, with the consent of the parties, enter final orders on dispositive

motions, conduct trial, and enter final judgment which may be appealed directly to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals (instead of a district judge).

Parties are encouraged to consent to the jurisdiction of a Magistrate Judge by signing and filing the (attached) Consent to Jurisdiction by a United States Magistrate Judge and Designation of the Normal Appeal Route. (*See* LR 5–5(a).) There will be no adverse consequences if a party elects not to consent to a Magistrate Judge. A Magistrate Judge, however, may be able to resolve a case earlier as they are primarily assigned only to civil cases.

Additional information about United States Magistrate Judges in the District of Oregon<u>is</u> available on the Court's website.

DATED: June 13, 2014 MARY L. MORAN Clerk of Court

by: /s/ L. Brinn

L. Brinn, Deputy Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF OREGON

ANDY CHUNG	Case No.: 6:14-cv-00952-TC
Plaintiff(s),	
v.	
PSRB DIRECTOR	
Defendant(s).	
	/
Consent and Desi	to Jurisdiction by a Magistrate Judge ignation of the Normal Appeal Route
orders on dispositive motions, trial, will not result in any adverse consequent	ge conduct any and all proceedings in this case, including entry of and entry of final judgment. I understand that withholding consent juences. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 73(c), I agree that an appeal trate Judge's direction may be taken to the court of appeals as act court judgment.
Signature:	
Name and OSB ID:	
E-mail Address:	
Firm Name:	
Mailing Address:	
City, State, Zip:	
Parties Represented:	

cc: Counsel of Record